```
Case 3:08-cr-00267-VRW
                                Document 120
                                                  Filed 09/14/2007
                                                                     Page 1 of 3
 1
    Garrick S. Lew SBN 61889
    Law Offices of Garrick S. Lew & Associates
    600 Townsend Street, Suite 329E
    San Francisco, CA 94103-4957
 3
    Telephone:
                 (415) 575-3588
    Facsimile:
                 (415) 522-1506
                 gsl@defendergroup.com
 4
    email:
5
    Attorneys for Defendant Johnson Mai
 6
 7
 8
                               UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 9
                        FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
10
11
                                                No: 3-06-70479 MAG
    UNITED STATES.
           Plaintiff
12
    JOHNSON MAI,
                                                 [AMENDED PROPOSED] ORDER AND
13
                                                 STIPULATION FOR CONTINUANCE
           a/k/a Zhi Xiong Mai
                                                FROM SEPTEMBER 14, 2007 TO
NOVEMBER 2, 2007 AND EXCLUDING
14
           a/k/a Uncle Hong,
           a/k/a Chi Hong Mak,
                                                 TIME FROM THE SPEEDY TRIAL ACT
15
           a/k/a Hong Suk;
                                                 CALCULATION (18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(A)
                                                 AND WAIVING TIME LIMITS UNDER
    LISA LEE,
16
                                                RULE 5.1
           a/k/a Xiao Ling Li;
17
    KAI LUN ZHENG,
           a/k/a Wai Keung Cheung,
18
           a/k/a Su Ming,
           a/k/a Alan Zheng:
19
    ZHI EN HUANG,
20
           a/k/a Gao Lo;
    DAVID YUEN,
21
           a/k/a Lo Wu,
           a/k/a Wu So Gor; and
22
    ERIC YU HENG CAI
23
           Defendants.
24
25
26
           With the agreement of the parties, and with the consent of the defendants, the Court enters this
27
    order scheduling a status conference on November 2, 2007 at 9:30 a.m. before duty magistrate judge
28
```

Bernard Zimmerman, and documenting the defendants' waiver of the preliminary hearing date under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and the exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(ii) and (iv), from September 14, 2007 2007 to and through November 2, 2007. The parties agree, and the Court finds and holds, as follows:

- 1. The case is very complex and involves international transactions and shipments, foreign banks and complex monetary transactions, extensive wiretap evidence and conversations in different Chinese language dialects. There are multiple defendants and discovery is voluminous. All defense counsel involved are in need of additional time to prepare the case. Furthermore, the government and defense counsel are actively involved in the process of global settlement discussions to resolve all pending charges involving all defendants before the court and additional time is necessary to seek approval of proposed plea agreements with the government.
- 2. All defendants agree to an exclusion of time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(ii) on the basis of complexity and (iv) continuity of counsel for effective preparation taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
- 3. The defendants waive the time limits of Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 for preliminary hearing.
- 4. Accordingly, and with the consent of all parties, the Court (1) alternatively sets a preliminary hearing before the duty magistrate judge on November 2, 2007 at 9:30 a.m. and (2) orders that the period from September 14,2007 to November 2, 2007 be excluded from the time period for preliminary hearings under Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 5.1 and from Speedy Trial Act calculations under 18 U.S.C. § 3161(b).

IT IS SO STIPULATED:

DATED: September 13, 2007

/s/ Garrick Lew

GARRICK LEW

Attorney for Defendant Johnson Mai

27 DATED: September 13, 2007

/s/ Alice Wong
ALICE WONG
Attorney for Defendant Lisa Lee

Case 3:08-cr-00267-VRW	Document 120	Filed 09/14/2007	Page 3 of 3

1	DATED G . 1 12 2007	// 6'15' 1
2	DATED: September 13, 2007	/s/ Gil Eisenberg GIL EISENBERG
3		Attorney for Defendant Kai Lun Zheng
4	DATED: September 13, 2007	/s/ Brian Getz BRIAN GETZ
5		Attorney for Zhi En Huang
6	DATED: September 13, 2007	/s/ Stuart Hanlon
7	DATED. September 13, 2007	STUART HANLON Attorney for David Yuen
8	DATED: Sontomber 12, 2007	•
9	DATED: September 13, 2007	/s/ Randy Montesano RANDY MONTESANO Attorney for Frie Cai
10	DATED: Sontomber 12, 2007	Attorney for Eric Cai
11	DATED: September 13, 2007	_/s/Thomas MazzuccoTHOMAS MAZZUCCO
12		Assistant United States Attorney

For the reasons stated above, the Court finds that the ends of justice served by the continuance outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial and that time should be excluded from the Speedy Trial Act calculations from September 14, 2007 through November 2, 2007 for effective preparation of counsel. <u>See</u> 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(8)(A). The failure to grant the requested continuance would deny the defendants effective preparation of counsel, taking into account the exercise of due diligence, and would result in a miscarriage of justice. <u>See</u> 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(8)(B)(iv).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: September 13, 2007

Stipulation and Proposed Order for Continuance [3-06-70479] [MAG]

